	Application No. 08/959,285	Applicant(s	s) Yamazaki et al.	
Interview Summary	Examiner Ricky Ma	ack	Group Art Unit 2873	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	, PTO personnel):			
(1) Ricky Mack	(3)			
(2) <i>Dan Glueck (37,838)</i>	(4)			
Date of Interview Oct 15, 1999				
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Ye	to □ applicant 🛛 a		presentative).	
Agreement \square was reached. \boxtimes was not reached.				
Claim(s) discussed: 27-77				
Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi (5701202) (Applicant disagrees with t	this reference being prior	art)		
Description of the general nature of what was agree It was agreed upon that prosecution would be suspendented interference with US Patent 5701202.	-		•	
	•			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	separate record of the si	ibstance of	the interview	

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04): If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Paper No.